

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNE	Y DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,505 05/04/2001		/04/2001	Gregory J. Wilson		291958157US1		8691
25096	7590 10/14/2004			EXAMINER			
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA					PATEL, RAMESH B		
P.O. BOX 12		AF	RT UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER			
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247						2121	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					——————————————————————————————————————				
		Application N	·O.	Applicant(s)	101				
,		09/849,505		WILSON ET AL.	,				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ramesh B. Pa		2121					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	lress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commust period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h inication. d days, a reply within the statutory utory period will apply and will exp vill, by statute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 27 September 2004	<b>1</b> .						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>26-33 and 44-46</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>26-33 and 44-46</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers	ſ							
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a)⊠ accepted o tion to the drawing(s) be he the correction is required if	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFI	• •				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  2. Certified copies of the priority of None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of None of	documents have been re documents have been re of the priority documents hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Application have been receivee 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) [	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 are presented for examination. Claims 1-25, 34-43 and 47-57 are canceled as being nonelected claims and claims 26-33 and 44-46 are being elected without traverse as stated in the amendment filed on 9/27/2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 44, line 7, the phrase "such as" and/or "such that" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part-of-the-claimed-invention.—See-MPEP-§-2173.05(d).

Dependent claims, which are not particularly rejected, are rejected based on the rejected base claim.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/849,505

Art Unit: 2121

## Double Patenting

- 3. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 of this application conflict with claims 27-34 and 42-47 of Application No. 09/866,391. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application.

  Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 26-33 and 44-46 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 27-34 and 42-47 of copending Application No. 09/866,391. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/849,505

Art Unit: 2121

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-6673 (new phone number after 10/14/2004 is 571-272-3688). The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179 (new phone number after 10/14/2004 is 571-272-3687). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh B. Patel
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2121